DOSSIER PREPARATION

FOR MERIT AND PROMOTION ACTIONS

APRIL 16, 2019

Philip Kass Office of Academic Affairs





Each *line* represents a *rank* of Professor: A = Assistant; S = Associate; F= Full; AS = Above Scale Each *digit* represents a *step* at that rank: for example, A3 = Assistant Professor step 3 Each *small tick* represents a year and each *large tick* represents a merit review, while moving from one rank to the next is a promotion. For Assistant and Associate Professors (up to Associate step 4), reviews normally occur every two years; for Associate step 4 through Full step 8, reviews normally occur every three years; for advancement from Full step 9 to Above Scale and Further Above Scale steps, reviews occur at four year intervals at the earliest.

A candidate may defer or postpone a merit or promotion review, and actions not deferred can receive an advancement of 0.0, 1.0, 1.5 or 2.0 steps under the UC Davis Step Plus system. At Professor step 5 and above an individual may choose to remain at step without deferrals, but all individuals without any merit or promotion review after five years will undergo a 5-year review.

The shaded areas in the diagram represent *overlapping steps*: Assistant steps 5 and 6 (A5, A6) overlap with Associate steps 1 and 2 (S1, S2), and Associate steps 4 and 5 (A4, A5) overlap with Full steps 1 and 2 (F1, F2). Overlapping steps at a lower rank earn \$100 less than the equivalent step at a higher rank, and they allow for extra time if needed and if permitted at the lower rank while a candidate prepares for promotion to the higher rank.

The *most simplistic* progression up the UC Academic Ladder (not accounting for Step Plus)





4-year barrier step

4-year step

UC RANKS & STEPS WITHIN RANKS: "NORMATIVE TIME" AT EACH STEP

Assistant Professor		Professor	
Step 1	2 yrs	Step 1	3 yrs
Step 2	2 yrs	Step 2	3 yrs
Step3	2 yrs	Step 3	3 yrs
Step 4	2 yrs	Step 4	3 yrs
(Step 5)	2 yrs	Step 5	3 yrs/Indef
(Step 6)	2 yrs		
Associate Professor/Tenure		Professor (senior levels)	
Associate P	Professor/Tenure	Professo	r (senior levels)
Associate P Step 1	Professor/Tenure 2 yrs	Professo Step 6	r <mark>(senior levels)</mark> 3 yrs/Indef
Step 1	2 yrs	Step 6	3 yrs/Indef
Step 1 Step 2	2 yrs 2 yrs	Step 6 Step 7	3 yrs/Indef 3 yrs/Indef
Step 1 Step 2 Step 3	2 yrs 2 yrs 2 yrs 2 yrs	Step 6 Step 7 Step 8 Step 9	3 yrs/Indef 3 yrs/Indef 3 yrs/Indef

STEP PLUS ADVANCEMENTS!



"Clear? Huh! Why a four-year-old child could understand this report!

Run out and find me a four-year-old child, I can't make head or tail of it." The UC Davis Step Plus system allows faculty to move faster (1.5 or 2.0 steps) based on greater-than-expected performance



The UC Davis Step Plus system also allows faculty at overlapping steps to laterally promote without loss of time at both ranks/steps (applies to whole and half steps) counting toward next merit action



The UC Davis Step Plus system also allows faculty to accelerate in time when promoting to Associate or full Professor, but only 1.0 step is allowed. No accelerations in time for high-level merits to Step 6 or Above Scale.



A Primer on the UC Davis Step Plus system

A faculty member is eligible for merit advancement after *normative time* at their current step (2, 3, or 4 years)

- After deferral, candidate is eligible for advancement the following year
- After denial or a 5-year review without advancement, candidate is eligible for advancement the following year; period of review continues to begin with last successful advancement.

Promotion (to Associate Prof., full Prof., "LSOE", "SLSOE") can occur at any time, but promotions requested before normative time has elapsed are eligible for a maximum of one (1.0) step.

Each merit/promotion dossier will be considered for Step Plus advancement

"normative advancement" is 1.0 step





The three legs of the academic "stool":foundations for performance evaluation (APM 210)Ladder-rank facultyL/P/SOE faculty(APM 220)(APM 285)



Got DATA??? Words to the wise

- Keep track of all professional activities (committees, talks, invitations, etc.)
 - Set up file folders/spreadsheet for research, teaching, service, professional competence (whatever works for you)
 - Summarize regularly (quarterly or at least annually) and/or enter data directly into MIV!
- Keep your CV updated (publications, exhibits, invited seminars, grants, etc.) MIV can generate this automatically for you!
- Consult with department colleagues, chair, and unit academic personnel analyst for advice on how to enter activities into MIV for YOUR discipline



Responses you can make during review

Check dossier for accuracy/completeness before chair releases it for department review

- You can write a <u>rebuttal</u> of redacted external letters with which you disagree (promotions) – due within 10 calendar days from date of receiving copies of redacted extramural letters
- Check penultimate draft of department letter
 - Factual errors should be corrected
 - Content should reflect faculty views, and is not negotiable
- If you disagree with statements in the department letter, you can write a rejoinder (due within 10 calendar days from date of receipt of department letter)
- You can go forward for advancement even if the department vote is negative... but is this a good idea?
- Fourth-year Appraisals provide Assistant Professors with input from peers about progress toward tenure promotion



Where does your dossier go after it leaves your digital hands?

- This depends on whether the action is "redelegated" or "nonredelegated"
- If redelegated, your Dean makes the final decision
- If not redelegated, the Vice Provost Academic Affairs makes the final decision (except for tenure decisions... these are made by the Provost or Chancellor)
- Non-barrier merits recommended for < 2.0 steps are redelegated</p>
- URL for professorial (and other) series delegation of authority: http://academicaffairs.ucdavis.edu/dofa.cfm



REDELEGATED ACTIONS

- Candidate (that's you) signs off on the digital dossier before it leaves the department
- Dossier goes from department to Dean's Office
- Most actions: Dean's Office sends dossier to college/school Faculty Personnel Committee (FPC – a subcommittee of CAP – Oversight Committee)
- FPC makes a recommendation to the Dean
- Dean makes final decision
- Appeals go to CAP-Appellate Committee (CAP-AC), and back to Dean for final action



NON-REDELEGATED ACTIONS: promotions, 2.0-step merit recommendations and merits to barrier steps

- Candidate signs off on dossier
- Department sends dossier to Dean's Office
- Dean makes recommendation to Vice Provost AA
- Vice Provost sends to CAP–Oversight Committee (CAP), which may recommend Ad Hoc review (done rarely)
- CAP recommendations go to Vice Provost for final action (except for tenure)
- If tenure case, Chancellor/Provost decide after consultation with Vice Provost
- Appeals go to CAP-AC; then to Vice Provost for final decision/recommendation (tenure cases go to the Chancellor/Provost)



DISCUSSION

MORE DETAILED INFORMATION TO FOLLOW

Dean: decides on most 1.0and 1.5-step merits

VP-AA, Provost, or Chancellor: all other decisions

Recommendations on: 2.0-step merits promotions, high-level merits 4th-year appraisal

Faculty Personnel Committee (FPC) recommendation 2.0-step merit recommendation *promotions (rank change) merit to Professor Step 6 *merit to Professor Above Scale

Committee on Academic Personnel (CAP) recommendation

1.0-step or 1.5-step merit recommendations 4th-year appraisal



*Extramural letters required

Guidelines for advancement under Step Plus: Professor series

Regular, 1.0-step advancement

Requires a balanced record, appropriate for rank and step, with evidence of <u>good</u> accomplishments in all areas of review. Academic Senate faculty can expect to advance at normal rates, unless a major flaw in their performance is evident. Service duties are expected to increase as faculty advance in rank and step.

1.5-step advancement

Requires a strong record with <u>outstanding</u> achievement in at least one area of review across research or creative work, teaching, and service. However, outstanding achievement in one area may not qualify the candidate for 1.5-step advancement if performance in another area does not meet UC Davis standards.



Guidelines for advancement under Step Plus: Professor series

2.0-step advancement

Requires a strong record in all three areas of review, with <u>outstanding performance in at least two areas</u>. In *most* cases, one of those areas will be scholarly and creative activity, however, exceptional performance in two other areas (teaching, University and public service, professional competence and activities) might warrant such unusual advancement.

> 2.0-step advancement

Expected to be extremely rare; requires an exceptionally strong and balanced record, highlighted by extraordinary levels of achievement in two areas (including research and creative activity), and excellent contributions in the third area.



At Above Scale, criteria for acceleration are very stringent

Guidelines for advancement under Step Plus: LPSOE/LSOE/SLSOE Senate faculty

Regular, 1.0-step advancement

Requires a balanced record, with evidence of good accomplishments in all areas of review. Academic Senate faculty can expect to advance at normal rates, unless a major flaw in their performance is evident. Service duties are expected to increase as faculty advance in rank and step.

1.5-step advancement

In addition to excellent teaching, requires a strong record with <u>outstanding</u> achievement in at least one area of review across teaching excellence and educational innovation, professional [and/or scholarly] achievement and activity, and university and public service.



Guidelines for advancement under Step Plus: LPSOE/LSOE/SLSOE Senate faculty

2.0-step advancement

In addition to excellent teaching, requires a strong record in all three areas of review, with <u>outstanding performance in at least two areas</u>.

> 2.0-step advancement

Expected to be extremely rare; requires an exceptionally strong and balanced record, highlighted by extraordinary levels of achievement in two areas (including teaching excellence and educational innovation).

At Above Scale (available for Senior Lecturers SOE only), the criteria for acceleration are very stringent How do you find out what expectations for normative advancement are?



• Talk to your senior colleagues, your department chair, and to current or former Senate review committee members (CAP, FPC)

Consider developing a "Plan for Progress" with your Chair

Criteria and expectations, especially for promotion, vary among disciplines!

- E.g. the "book disciplines"
- the arts
- STEM disciplines
- Co-authorship, and intellectual/conceptual leadership
- Teaching expectations (and teaching loads) vary among disciplines

Encourage your department to prepare written guidelines



Your dossier establishes the case for a particular advancement outcome



Good, strong contributions that meet expectations for normal advancement



Substantial weaknesses, contributions well below expectations Outstanding performance,

contributions *well above* expectations





Possibly no promotion or no merit 1.0 step





2.0 steps

Which department members vote on your merit or promotion dossier?

Only Senate faculty can vote on Senate personnel actions.

Most common series: Professor (also called "ladder-rank faculty"), Lecturer __SOE, Professor of Clinical ___, Professor in Residence

Each department has specific voting rules that determine:

- Whether junior faculty vote on appointments or advancements at higher ranks
- Whether non-ladder rank Senate faculty (e.g. LSOE series, Clin ____, etc. can vote on ladder rank Senate personnel actions
- Whether emeriti can vote (uncommon)

Review your department's voting rules with your Chair

Your dossier communicates your record to your voters!!!



MAJOR COMPONENTS OF SUBMITTED DOSSIER

- Candidate's statement (teaching, mentoring, research, service, contributions to diversity)
- Courses taught, student evaluation scores and comments
- Teaching, advising and curriculum development
- Mentoring record
- Contributions to diversity
- Service activities (department, college, professional, public)
- Publications or creative works of various types
- Contributions to jointly authored works!!!
- Extramural support



PROMOTIONS: ADDITIONAL COMPONENTS OF DOSSIER

- Letters from external referees
- Peer teaching evaluation*
 - *these are required at each merit for L/P/SOE faculty
- Summary of record since terminal degree (for tenure promotion) or since last promotion, with achievements since last review identified
 - Dossier review by the Committee on Academic Personnel Oversight Subcommittee (CAP) and the VP-AA will emphasize activities/achievements since the most recent review, while also considering the longer review period
 - If more than one step is being requested for outstanding work in one or more areas, reviewers will consider whether that work has previously been awarded



STEP-PLUS HAS COMPLICATED REVIEWS WITHIN 2 STEPS OF A PROMOTION OF HIGH-LEVEL MERIT BARRIER STEP

- A dossier submitted for promotion may instead be considered for merit advancement to an overlapping step if a key criterion for promotion has not been met
- A dossier submitted for a merit may gain support from the department, dean or FPC for promotion or for advancement past a high-level barrier step (Professor Step 6 or Professor Above Scale); if so, the dossier will need to be updated and a new vote taken
- If >1.0 step is being requested for outstanding work in one or more areas, reviewers will consider whether that work has previously been awarded



MyInfoVault (MIV): UCD's digital dossier management system



<u>http://myinfovault.ucdavis.edu/</u>



Candidate

Department

Narrative statements Graduate advisees Service activities Curriculum development Publications Contributions to joint works Extramural support Awards, honors Contributions to diversity

Department letter Other allowable letters Undergrad advisee count Course schedule Plus, for promotions only: External letters Peer review(s) of teaching

MyInfoVault (MIV)



KEY COMPONENTS OF DEPARTMENT LETTER



- Nature & extent of consultation with department faculty & faculty vote
- Evaluation of teaching effectiveness, comments on student/peer evaluations
- Analysis of quality, productivity and impact of research/creative activities
- *Evaluation* of service contributions
- Evaluation of professional competence
- Evaluation of contributions to diversity





DOCUMENTATION OF TEACHING

DEPARTMENT:

- Official list of all courses taught
- Remember to report guest lectures!
- Student evaluations:
 - Complete set of original evaluations from 2 courses (preferably recent courses and one with high enrollment)
 - Numerical summaries for all courses (department letter discusses all courses)
- Peer evaluation letter (promotions and high-level merits to Professor Step 6 and Professor Above Scale)
- Numbers of undergraduate student advisees, special advising and mentoring



UCDAVIS

For Promotions or high-level merits:

EXTRAMURAL LETTERS

The department chair will request extramural evaluations of your record. Some names will come from a list suggested by the candidate (you). Some will come from an *independently selected* list generated by the department.

- Most letters should be "arm's length" not from mentees, mentors, collaborators or other close associates.
- Letters should be requested in Spring quarter, so get your materials together early, including a draft candidate statement.
- Before your dossier goes to the department for a vote, you have the right to see a redacted version of the extramural letters and write a rebuttal letter (this is relatively rare).



CANDIDATE:

DESCRIPTION OF TEACHING ACTIVITIES

Statement of teaching philosophy (part of Candidate's Statement)

Description of curriculum and pedagogical development activities

- New courses developed
- New assignments, e.g. to build teamwork, critical thinking skills
- Active learning innovation and pedagogical tools
- Application of new technology
- Advances in assessing learning
- Special advising activities
- Teaching activities that make contributions to diversity, principles of community
- Possible links to syllabi, lecture slides/handouts, homework assignments, etc.





DESCRIPTION OF MENTORING ACTIVITIES

Summary of graduate / undergraduate mentoring

Students advised

- Your advising capacity (committee chair, member)
- Current status of former graduate students
- In Candidate's Statement describe special achievements, unusual advising methods or activities
- In Candidate's Statement and in MIV, describe other special advising, training and mentorship, e.g. of rotation students, post-doctoral or international scholars
- Report advising and mentorship activities that contribute to diversity and principles of community


CANDIDATE: SERVICE ACTIVITIES

University service

- List by level i.e., department, college, graduate group/ program, Academic Senate, Administrative, etc.
- Indicate role (member, chair) and describe your special contributions in the Candidate's Statement
- Note: membership in a graduate group/program and professional society is not service
- Briefly state outcome/impact of committee in Candidate's statement
- Other professional service that "counts" and indicates professional reputation and competence
 - Reviewing grants and manuscripts
 - Professional society committees, officer positions
 - Service to government agencies
- Public service and outreach



CANDIDATE: DESCRIPTION OF RESEARCH/CREATIVE ACTIVITIES – Part 1

Narrative in Candidate's Statement

- ✓ Be concise: total statement should be ≤ 5 pages!!!
- Summarize major published findings and refer to published or in-press works by number (in MIV record)
- Briefly recap promising new findings
- Indicate new directions, challenges and goals
- Remember your statement should be understandable to non-specialists
- Consider including citation statistics; e.g. from Google Scholar Citations



CANDIDATE: DESCRIPTION OF

RESEARCH/CREATIVE ACTIVITIES – Part 2

- Indicate all publications & created works that occurred during the review period
 - Peer-reviewed publications of broad distribution are most critical
 - ✓ Use MyInfoVault annotations to indicate if refereed, especially important, etc.
 - Publications of other types books, book chapters, limited distribution, technical reports, reviews, etc.
 - Other created works include: patents, exhibits, performances, etc.
- In-press publications may be included with an acceptance letter or galley proof dated no later than September 30 of the year of review.
- Submitted papers, chapters or book contracts do not count as evidence of publication
- Work in progress, especially on books and other major works, may be given some weight in merit actions, but are *not* generally considered for promotion

RESEARCH/CREATIVE ACTIVITIES – Part 3

CANDIDATE: DESCRIPTION OF

- Describe contributions to jointly authored works in MIV
 - This is extremely important to do well
 - Describe your own role in substantive detail, being especially careful to indicate intellectual/conceptual leadership role, if any
 - Also, briefly describe the significance of the jointly authored paper in this section
 - Do not assign a percentage to your contribution



CANDIDATE: EVIDENCE OF PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCE

- Invitations to review manuscripts/grants
- Invitations to present at national/international meetings, to organize symposia/sessions/meetings, to chair sessions
- Invitations to write scholarly articles/reviews— but beware of putting too much time into chapters in edited books!
- Invitations to write book reviews
- Awards, honors, competitive fellowships
- Election to professional society leadership positions
- Serving in expert capacity for government agencies



EFFORTS TO ENHANCE DIVERSITY AT THE UC ARE CONSIDERED POSITIVELY FOR MERITS AND PROMOTIONS

UC APM 210:

The University of California is committed to excellence and equity in every facet of its mission. Teaching, research, professional and public service contributions that promote diversity and equal opportunity are to be encouraged and given recognition in the evaluation of the candidate's qualifications. These contributions to diversity and equal opportunity can take a variety of forms including efforts to advance equitable access to education, public service that addresses the needs of California's diverse population, or research in a scholar's area of expertise that highlights inequalities. Mentoring and advising of students or new faculty members are to be encouraged and given recognition in the teaching or service categories of academic personnel actions.



CANDIDATE: Efforts to support diversity and equal opportunity (optional statement in MIV)

<u>1. Teaching</u>

- Modules/exercises to engage under-represented students with the topic
- Methods/practices to foster an inclusive classroom environment
- Curricula that include contributions from different ethnicities/gender
- Writing grants targeting teaching of diverse groups
- Learning activities centered in under-served communities



CANDIDATE: Efforts to support diversity and equal opportunity (optional statement in MIV)

2. Service

- Mentoring students from diverse backgrounds
- Calling/encouraging admitted students from diverse backgrounds to attend UC Davis, go on to higher degrees
- Participating in outreach programs focused on under-served or under-represented groups
- Developing grant proposals to enhance diversity-building efforts



CANDIDATE: Efforts to support diversity and equal opportunity (optional statement in MIV)

3. Research

- Studies of gender/ethnic differences in _____ (e.g., learning methodology effectiveness, pipeline issues), with efforts to disseminate useful findings
- Research on how to reduce impacts of unconscious bias in reducing diversity
- Research requiring engagement of under-served communities



CANDIDATE: EXTRAMURAL GRANT ACTIVITY

- List grants completed, active and submitted during this review period
- In Candidate's Statement, indicate your role in multiinvestigator grants



Merit advancement expectations

- Although reviewers are expected to exercise reasonable flexibility in assessing any one review period, continued advancement requires good contributions in all areas! Expectations for service increase dramatically after promotion to Full Professor, especially at the high steps
- The Step Plus merit criteria are applied by reviewers to determine whether they recommend > 1.0-step advancement in recognition of outstanding achievement in one or more areas of review over the period of review.
 - Find merit advancement criteria for all Senate titles at the Step Plus website: <u>http://academicaffairs.ucdavis.edu/policies/step-</u> <u>plus/index.html</u>
 - For Step Plus promotions or merit advancements to barrier steps, attention is paid to achievements since the previous merit review and the degree to which achievements over the longer review period have already been recognized and rewarded

Promotion expectations

- Promotions and merits to barrier steps (Professor Step 6 and
 Professor Above Scale) are based on your cumulative record since
 your terminal degree (for promotion to tenure) or since your last
 promotion (to Associate or full Professor rank)
- Criteria for promotion involve the achievement of **benchmarks** in scholarship/creative work, teaching and service, and are separate from those for merit advancement.
 - E.g., have you established your own unique voice as a scholar?
 - Is your work having a demonstrable impact at regional, national or international scales?
 - Review UC and UCD APM 210, 220 and 285 (SOE series)
 - Discuss discipline-specific expectations with your chair and colleagues!

Research and scholarly creative activity

- Evidence of a creative, innovative and thematic program
 - Sole, first or corresponding/senior author
 - Grant applications/funding for projects (PI, co-PI status)
 - Evidence of growth and leadership beyond doctoral, postdoctoral programs
- Quality/impact of scholarship
 - Quality of peer-reviewed journals/presses
 - External peer reviews/letters; citation impact
 - Reviews and references to exhibits and performances
- Productivity, contributions to jointly authored work
- Indications that productivity can be sustained



Teaching excellence and educational innovation... especially (but not exclusively) for LSOE-series faculty

- Stress your efforts to make evidence-based improvements in teaching and to assess impacts on student learning
 - Provide evidentiary basis for the changes and "experiments" you've initiated
 - Begin with your own courses
 - For promotion-- extend your work, via collaboration, to other courses, curriculum within your unit or community
- For LPSOE promotion to LSOE, document how your work is moving us towards better teaching and learning, but published research in pedagogy is not yet required at UC Davis
- For LSOE promotion to SLSOE, provide evidence for national leadership and recognition for work on pedagogy



LPSOEs:

Professional achievement and scholarship

- For LPSOE level, publishing on pedagogy is a plus, but is not required; "in-house" studies and innovative trials can suffice
- Professional activity should demonstrate growth as a scholar of teaching and learning
 - Presentations at national meetings focused on pedagogy
 - Textbook writing, manuals for better instruction
 - Consultations with other departments, institutions
 - Participation in learning communities focused on pedagogy
- Grant proposals submitted and funded for teaching innovation, inclusion and other critical goals





Academic Affairs

Serving the professional Academic Community at UC Davis

Diversity & Equity . People -Your Resources + Policies • Programs + Tools -Training • Honors -Home

Quick Links

Academic Employment Opportunities (RECRUIT) Academic Personnel Manual Annual Call **Attributes Chart** Deans, Directors & Department Chairs List Delegations of Authority FAQs MOUS Salary Scales Step Plus System UCD Policy & Procedures Manual (PPM)

Academic Affairs

Fifth Floor Mrak Hall University of California, Davis **One Shields Avenue** Davis, CA 95616 Мар

(530) 752-2072 (520) 752 6250 fax



MIV Information Testimonials

2015-16 New Faculty Brown Bag Schedule

2015-16 Department Chairs Brown Bag

Upcoming Events

Calasdula

Recent Academic Advisories sent to the Deans

AA2016-01 - Changes to Junior Specialist

What's New

Proposed 2016 Retirement Program

Search Academic Affairs

- New Junior Specialist Forms and Checklists
- 2015-16 Equity Adjustment
- 2015-16 Annual Call for Academic Personnel Advancement Actions
- Step Plus PPS Data Entry Guidelines
- VP Stanton's Step Plus Presentation regarding voting and ballots (PDF)
- Ebola Advisory
- New Extramural Letter Requirements
- 2014-15 Annual Call for Unit 18 Members
- Position Planning Tool
- Step Plus System
- Capital Resource Network
- Step Plus Orientation Presentation (PDF) March 5, 2014
- 2014-15 Call for Chancellor's Postdoctoral **Fellow Applications**
- 2015-16 New Faculty Brown Bag Schedule
- 2015-16 Department Chairs Brown Bag Schedule
- 2015-16 Associate Professor Brown Bag Schedule

f Contents Academic × +	
nanuals. ucdavis.edu /apm/apm-toc.htm	🚖 自 🗜

UCDAVIS

Academic Personnel Manual

L	About the APM	Table	of Contents			
	Administrative Po	olicy	Personnel Polic	ies for Staff Members	Policy and Procedure Manual	Delegations of Authority

Home > Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Quick Links

Office of the Chancellor Office of the Provost Academic Affairs Directives Principles of Community

Search the Policy Manuals

Universitywide policies listed below begin with "APM." UC Davis policies and procedures begin with "UCD" and are highlighted below. Not all Universitywide policies have UCD procedures. Universitywide policies are issued by the Office of the President and apply to all campuses and laboratories. UCD procedures are developed by Academic Affairs and issued by the Offices of the Chancellor and Provost and apply only to UCD, which includes all units under the jurisdiction of UC Davis, located in Davis, Sacramento, and all off-site locations.

Throughout these policies, the term "Chancellor" refers to the Chancellor and/or the Chancellor's designee. Responsibilities that cannot be redelegated by the Chancellor are stated explicitly within the policy.

IN THIS SECTION I, General University Policy Regarding Academic Appointees II, Appointment and Promotion III, Recruitment IV, Salary Administration V, Benefits and Privileges

I. General University Policy Regarding Academic Appointees		
APM 005	Privileges and Duties of Members of the Faculty	
APM 010	Academic Freedom	
<u>APM 015</u>	The Faculty Code of Conduct	
	UCD-015, Procedures for Faculty Misconduct Allegations	
	Exhibit A, Examples of Unacceptable Faculty Conduct	
	Exhibit B, Allegations of Misconduct Request for Review	
APM 016	University Policy on Faculty Conduct and the Administration of Discipline	
	UCD-016, Procedures for Faculty Discipline	
APM 020	Special Services to Individuals and Organizations	

Table of Contents | Academic ... 🗙

🗲 🛞 manuals.ucdavis.edu/apm/apm-toc.htm

+

	· ·	
	UCD-191, Endowed Chairs (6/3/05, rev. 9/5/08)	
Back to top		
II. Appointment and Promotion		
APM 200	General	
APM 205	Recall for Academic Appointees	
APM 210	Review and Appraisal Committees	
APM 220	Professor Series	
	UCD-220, Academic Senate Review and Advancement (8/8/03, revised 7/9/04; IV F.10 rev. 5/18/05, 6/27/05)	
	Procedure 1, Appraisal, Merit, Promotion, and Preliminary Assessment	
	Procedure 2, Deferral Request	
	Procedure 3, Joint Appointments (10/11/04)	
	Procedure 4, Five-Year Review (revised 11/10/03)	
	Procedure 5, Appeal	
	Exhibit A, Consultation and Voting Procedures on Academic Senate Personnel	
	Exhibit B, Language Required When Letters of Evaluation are Solicited of Received (revised 7/9/04 & 7/14/05)	
	Exhibit C, Guidelines for Preparation of Publication and Other Creative Efforts List	
	Exhibit D, Guidelines for Evaluation of Department Chairs (instructions the deans)	
	UCD-220AF, Academic Federation Review and Advancement (1/17/04, revised 7/9/04)	
	Procedure 1, General Procedure for Merit or Promotion	
	Exhibit A, Criteria Used for Evaluating Performance When Soliciting Extramural Evaluations	
	Exhibit B, Model Format for Letters Soliciting Extramural Evaluations for Academic Federation Promotions	
	Exhibit C, Sample Departmental LettersAcademic Federation	

() manuals.ucdavis.edu/apm/apm-toc.htm

▽ C Q Search

APM 245	Department Chairpersons
	UCD-245A, Appointment and Review of Department Chairpersons
	(6/12/95, rev.6/6/13)
	Exhibit A, Duties of Clinical Department Chairpersons
	UCD-245B, Appointment and Review of Graduate Group Chairs (1/16/03)
	Exhibit A, Duties of Graduate Group Chairs
<u>APM 246</u>	Faculty Administrators (100% Time)
APM 260	University Professor
APM 265	Presidential Chairs
APM 270	Professor of (e.g., Psychology) in Residence Series
APM 275	Professor of Clinical (e.g., Medicine) Series
	UCD-275, Professor of Clinical () Appointments in the School of Medicine
APM 278	Health Sciences Clinical Professor Series
<u>APM 279</u>	Clinical Professor Series, Volunteer Series
<u>APM 280</u>	Adjunct Professor Series
	UCD-280, Adjunct Professor Series
APM 283	Lecturer and Senior Lecturer
APM 285	Lecturer with Security of Employment Series
	UCD-285, Lecturer with Security of Employment Series
APM 289	Guest Lecturers
APM 290	Regents' Professors and Regents' Lecturers
APM 300	Supervisor of Physical Education Series
APM 310	Professional Research Series
APM 311	Project (e.g., Scientist) Series
APM 320	Agronomist Series
	UCD-320, Appointment and Promotion of Agronomists in the AES Series (11/6/98)
	Exhibit A, Evaluating Split Appointments
APM 330	Specialist Series

Discussion

